UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,811	10/20/2003	Takeshi Ono	2003_1458A	2618
52349 7590 05/30/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			WEINSTEIN, LEONARD J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/687,811	ONO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LEONARD J. WEINSTEIN	3746		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-32 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 13-32 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the constant of the const	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/687,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 9, 2008 has been entered.

2. The examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 13-14 and 16-31.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 13-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park 6,422,833 in view of Suzuki et al. 3,664,771, further in view of Gallmeyer US 5,660,256. Park teaches all the limitations for a hermetic compressor including: **[claim 13]** a compressor element 30 elastically supported in an enclosed container 10 a cup-shaped stopper 50 fixed to an inner upper part of said enclosed container 10, a crankshaft 2 associated with said compressor element 30, with an

Application/Control Number: 10/687,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

upper end portion, section of element 2 extending into element 50 as shown in figure 2, of said crankshaft 2 extending into said cup-shaped stopper 50, and being spaced from said inner peripheral surface 51 of said cup-shaped stopper 50 with no structure existing between said upper end portion, top end of element 2, and said inner peripheral surface, element 51 of element 50, and a motor element 20 for driving said compressor element 30; [claims 16, 19, 23, and 26] a cup-shaped stopper 50 comprises a ring member 51; [claims 18, 21, 25, 28, **30, and 31]** and a compressor element 30 includes a compressor chamber 31a and a piston 32 for reciprocating within said compressor chamber 31a in back and forth directions. Park fails to teach the following limitations that are taught by Suzuki including: [claim 13] a cup-shaped stopper 116 having a linear protrusion 117 extending inwardly from an inner peripheral surface, inside of element 116, of said cup-shaped stopper 116, a crankshaft 7 associated with a compressor element 8, with an end portion of said crankshaft 7 extending into said cup-shaped stopper 116, and being spaced from said inner peripheral surface, inner surface of element 116, of said cup-shaped stopper 116 with no structure existing between said end portion, lower end of element 7 extending into element 116, and said inner peripheral surface, inner surface of element 116, such that said end portion of said crank shaft 7 arranged to contact said linear protrusion 117 and said inner peripheral surface, inner surface of element 116, upon oscillation of said compressor element 8; [claims 14] wherein a protrusion 117 has an apex; [claims 16, 19, 23, and 26] a cup-shaped stopper 116 comprises a ring member, as general shape of cup stopper is a ring deformed by one edge designated by element 117 being pushed inwards to form a space 119 between itself and the edge of the adjoining opposite edge of the ring forming the cup stopper 116, and said linear protrusion 117 is formed by deforming an outer peripheral portion of said ring member, as formed by element 116, such

Art Unit: 3746

that a resulting deformation of an inner peripheral portion of said ring member corresponds to said linear protrusion 117, as can be seen with top view of element 116 shown in figure 5; [claims 17, 20, 24, 27, and 29] a linear protrusion 117 extends along an axial direction of said cup-shaped stopper 116; [claims 18, 21, 25, 28, 30, and 31] a linear protrusion 117 extends generally orthogonal to the back and forth directions of a piston 12 reciprocating within a compressor chamber 11 of compressor element 8; [claim 32] and an inner peripheral surface, inner surface of element 116, of said cup-shaped stopper 116, comprises an innermost peripheral surface of a cup-shaped stopper, as can be seen in figures 5 and 6 where edge formed by element 117 is the edge of the inner circumference surface of the element 116.

Page 4

Suzuki teaches that a protrusion of stopper is formed to prevent a shaft from rotating along the inner surface of the stopper when a vibration or external force is applied that alters the rotation of the compressor. It is noted by the examiner that this is the same motivation supplied by the instant disclosure on page 5 wherein it is stated that the protrusion is formed to prevent continued rotary motion of a shaft on the inner surface of a cup-shaped stopper. Thus Suzuki teaches the general concept of a protrusion formed inside of a stopper where a crankshaft for compressor extends. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stopper of Park by deforming and inner surface to create a linear protrusion as taught by Suzuki in order to prevent continued rotary motion of a crankshaft along an inside of the stopper or protector (Suzuki - col. 1 ll. 34-69).

A combination of the references teaches all the limitations as discussed but fails to teach the limitations of a protrusion formed on inner surface of a stopper, shaft protector, or damper (as defined by element 10 of Gallmeyer), disposed around a rotating shaft that are taught by Gallmeyer including: **[claim 13]** a linear protrusion 26, formed in the shape of a convex linear

Art Unit: 3746

protrusion (fig. 3A-1); [claims 14, 15, and 22] a convex linear protrusion 26 has an apex, innermost point of element 26 in a direction inwards of element 10, and flanks, outer edges of element 26 abutting element 10, on opposite sides of said apex, innermost point of element 26, with said flanks in a direction inwards of element 10, outer edges of element 26 abutting element 10, each having a radius of curvature such that a center of the radius of curvature is positioned outside of said stopper/damper 10, wherein said flanks, outer edges of element 26 abutting element 10, are generally symmetrical relative to one another about said apex, innermost point of the element 26 in a direction inwards of element 10. Gallmeyer teaches that convex shaped protrusion designated by element 26 aids a damper 10 in damping undesirable vibrations caused by a rotary shaft. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a hermetic compressor provided with a crankshaft extending into a stopper as taught by Park, modified to have a liner protrusion along and axial length as taught by Suzuki, further modified so that a linear protrusion has a convex shape with and apex disposed at an inner most point of a stopper/damper as taught by Gallmeyer in order to dampen undesirable vibrations caused by a rotary shaft (Gallmeyer - col. 2 II. 28-32).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEONARD J. WEINSTEIN whose telephone number is (571)272-9961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00 - 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,811 Page 6

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/Leonard J Weinstein/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746